

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/575,193	Applicant(s) HATTORI ET AL.		
	Examiner MICHAEL SZPERKA	Art Unit 1644		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>RCE</u>			
(1) <u>MICHAEL SZPERKA</u> .	(3) _____.			
(2) <u>Ryan McQuade</u> .	(4) _____.			
Date of Interview: <u>05 July 2011</u>	Time: <u>1PM</u>			
<p>Type of Interview:</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)</p> <p>Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.</p> <p>Part I.</p> <p>Rejection(s) discussed:</p> <p>Claims discussed: <u>34, 36, and 37</u></p> <p>Prior art documents discussed:</p> <p>Part II.</p> <p>SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Applicant's representative agreed to the changes detailed in the accompanying examiner's amendment which place the newly presented claims in condition for allowance.</i></p> <p>Part III.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</p>				
<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div> <u>/Michael Szperka/</u> Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1644 </div> <div> _____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate) </div> </div>				